## $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from new passenger cars in the EU: Car manufacturers' performance in 2016

The purpose of this briefing paper is to provide a summary of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission levels of new passenger cars in the European Union (EU) in 2016. The briefing is based on a preliminary dataset recently released by the European Environment Agency (EEA) on monitoring $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from new passenger cars in the EU. New cars sold in the EU in 2016 had average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of $118 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, which was $1.2 \%$ lower than in 2015.

As a follow-up to the previous year's briefing, ${ }^{1}$ this paper details manufacturers' performance in terms of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions reduction, fuel and technology trends, and market share. The paper focuses on differences between Member States, as well as between the major manufacturer groups. It also discusses the impact of the supercredit provision on the average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission values of manufacturer groups.

## 1. BACKGROUND

The EEA recently released a preliminary dataset on the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions performance of new passenger cars in the EU in 2016. ${ }^{2}$ This dataset is at the core of the monitoring scheme of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from passenger cars, and is used by the European Commission to evaluate whether car manufacturers comply with their mandatory $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ targets as defined in the

[^0][^1]Regulation EC 443/2009. The EEA collects the data from the Member States, which are required to submit detailed information on each new car registered on a yearly basis.

The EEA data show that the sales-weighted average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from new passenger cars in the EU in 2016 were $118 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}, 1.2 \%$ lower than in 2015. As illustrated in Figure 1, average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from new cars decreased by more than $27 \%$ from 2005 to 2016. From 2008, when $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ targets were first agreed upon, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions fell at an average rate of $3.2 \%$ per year, and vehicle manufacturers reached the average 2015 target of $130 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ in time. If average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from new cars continue to decrease at a similar pace, car manufacturers would meet the $2020 / 21$ target of $95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, which includes a one-year phase-in. The reduction rate is much higher than in a business-as-usual scenario without mandatory standards: Before mandatory standards were agreed upon in 2008, the annual rate of improvement was only about $1.2 \%$.

The reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions implies a reduction in fuel consumption, as they are effectively proportional. Since 2005, fuel consumption has decreased from $6.91 / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ to $5.1 \mathrm{I} / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ (gasoline equivalent) in $2016 .^{3}$


Figure 1. Historical development, trends, and targets for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission levels of new passenger cars in the EU. Effects of phase-in, super-credits, and eco-innovations are not considered here.

## 2. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ EMISSIONS BY VEHICLE MANUFACTURER

Car manufacturers can pool together the average emissions of several brands to meet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ standards (only the average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of a pool as a whole are regulated). For the purpose of this analysis, we follow a definition of manufacturer groups that is

[^2]intended to mirror the actual vehicle market as closely as possible and may be different from manufacturer pools in the context of the EU regulations. ${ }^{4}$

Figure 2 illustrates the distance of each manufacturer group to its 2015 and 2020/21 emission targets. The 2015 and 2020/21 targets for each manufacturer group are set according to the average mass of their new fleet, based on the limit value curves displayed in the figure. The exact 2020/21 target line equation has not been officially set yet, as it will be adjusted based on the average mass of new passenger cars in the three calendar years leading up to the target year. For the current analysis, the 2020/21 target equation was defined using the 2016 average vehicle mass. For each manufacturer group, the 2020/21 target implies a decrease of approximately $27 \%$ in average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions compared to the 2015 target.


Figure 2. Performance of the top-selling EU passenger car manufacturer groups for 2016, along with the 2015 and 2020 (effectively 2021) target lines. For PSA and Renault-Nissan the changes in their respective fleet averages resulting from the recently announced mergers with Opel/Mitsubishi are indicated with arrows.

Table 1 presents average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission values for the eight major manufacturer groups (representing 86\% of the 2016 EU new car registrations), both with and without considering the effect of super-credits. Super-credits are favorable weightings for cars emitting less than $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$. The impact of super-credits is discussed in detail in Section 4. It should be noted that to illustrate the effect of super-credits, the 2021 multiplier 1.67 has been applied in the table. The 2020/21 target for each manufacturer was calculated using the respective average mass in 2016.

[^3]Table 1. Manufacturer group market shares, average vehicle mass, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions with and without super-credits for 2016, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission targets for 2020 (effectively 2021).

|  | EU marketshare | Average mass (kg) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016 w/o super-credits | 2016 w/ supercredits for 2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020/21 } \\ \text { target } \end{gathered}$ |
| Toyota | 4\% | 1,340 | 105 | 105 | 93 |
| PSA | 17\% | 1,281 | 110 | 110 | 91 |
| Renault-Nissan | 15\% | 1,304 | 111 | 110 | 92 |
| Average |  | 1,388 | 118 | 118 | 95 |
| FCA | 6\% | 1,247 | 120 | 120 | 90 |
| Ford | 7\% | 1,369 | 120 | 120 | 94 |
| Volkswagen | 24\% | 1,419 | 120 | 120 | 96 |
| BMW | 7\% | 1,572 | 123 | 121 | 101 |
| Daimler | 6\% | 1,584 | 125 | 125 | 102 |

Overall, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction progress from 2015 to 2016 was slow for most manufacturers. The market share of PSA increased from $11 \%$ in 2015 to $17 \%$ in 2016, thanks to the recent acquisition of Opel. At the same time, average fleet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of PSA including Opel increased by $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ to a level of $110 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$. The 2020/21 target for PSA remained stable at $91 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ despite efficiency improvements by the two major PSA brands Peugeot and Citroën, indicating that the Opel brand is still further away from its 2020/21 CO 2 target level than the rest of the new PSA group (see Figure 1). Toyota remains the manufacturer group closest to its 2020/21 target, with a current emissions level of $105 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and a target of $93 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$. An effect of super-credits at the manufacturer group level is only notable for Renault-Nissan and BMW, respectively benefiting from a $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ and $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{km}$ reduction of average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ values as a result of the super-credits provision.

## 3. FUEL/TECHNOLOGY TRENDS BY MEMBER STATE AND MANUFACTURER

Passenger cars powered by alternative fuels made up a small share of the new EU car fleet in 2016. The share of electric vehicles, namely hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs), and battery electric vehicles (BEVs), increased from $2.5 \%$ in 2015 to $3.2 \%$. Conventional diesel and petrol cars accounted for $95 \%$ of new cars. With a market share of $49 \%$, diesel cars continued to account for most new registrations, but lost three percentage points compared to 2015. ${ }^{5}$

Table 2 presents the market share of various fuels and technologies in 2016 by country. ${ }^{6}$ Alternative fuel types such as hydrogen, compressed natural gas (CNG), ethanolgasoline mix, and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) have been grouped as "Other."

[^4]Table 2. Market share of fuels/technologies for new passenger cars in 2016, by country.

|  | Diesel | Petrol | Hybrid- <br> electric | Plug-in <br> hybrid <br> electric | Battery- <br> electric | Other | Market <br> share |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| EU Total | $49 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | - |
| Germany | $46 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| UK | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| France | $52 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| Italy | $57 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| Others (EU) | $40 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Spain | $57 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| Belgium | $52 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Netherlands | $19 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Sweden | $53 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Austria | $57 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Denmark | $36 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Portugal | $65 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Ireland | $70 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Finland | $33 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Greece | $54 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Luxembourg | $65 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Iceland | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | - |
| Norway | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - |
| Switzerland | $39 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | - |
| Turkey | $61 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | - |

Norway remains at the forefront of the European electric vehicle market, with $15.7 \%$ of new car registrations in 2016 being BEVs and another 13.4\% being PHEVs. In addition, $11.3 \%$ of vehicles are HEVs. For PHEVs, the Netherlands, Iceland, and Sweden7 show relatively high market shares ( $4.8 \%, 3.2 \%$, and $2.7 \%$ ). However, the PHEV market share in the Netherlands dropped from $8.8 \%$ in 2015 to $4.8 \%$ in 2016. In Finland, on the other hand, the market share of PHEV increased from $0.4 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ within the last year.

Table 3 presents the market share of fuels/technologies in 2016 for major car manufacturer groups and brands, sorted by descending market shares of manufacturer groups.

The Toyota group clearly stands out with the highest share of HEVs (40.8\% of sales), followed by the Kia brand as a distant second with $1.9 \%$. As in 2015, Renault-Nissan and BMW led the BEV market. BEVs accounted for $1.6 \%$ and $1.1 \%$ of their 2016 sales, respectively. As for the PHEV market, BMW and Daimler are leading the market with shares of $2.0 \%$ and $1.4 \%$, respectively. However, at the brand level we observe much higher market shares, with PHEVs accounting for more than $14.8 \%$ of Mitsubishi's total

[^5]sales. Porsche and Volvo also sold a considerable share of PHEVs (4.8\% and 4.5\% respectively). The Italian automaker Fiat led the market for natural gas vehicles, which made up 6.3\% of total sales.

Table 3. Market share of fuel/technologies for new passenger cars in 2015 for selected brands and manufacturers.

|  | Diesel | Petrol | Hybridelectric | Plug-in hybrid electric | Batteryelectric | Other | EU Market share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU Total | 49\% | 46\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | - |
| Volkswagen | 53\% | 46\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 23.9\% |
| VW | 52\% | 46\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 11.3\% |
| Audi | 69\% | 30\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 5.5\% |
| Škoda | 45\% | 54\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.3\% |
| SEAT | 36\% | 63\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% |
| Porsche | 40\% | 55\% | 0.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| PSA | 44\% | 55\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 16.4\% |
| Peugeot | 53\% | 47\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 5.7\% |
| Opel | 33\% | 63\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.9\% |
| Citroën | 50\% | 50\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Vauxhall | 28\% | 72\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| DS | 51\% | 48\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Renault-Nissan | 50\% | 46\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.8\% | 14.6\% |
| Renault | 55\% | 44\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% |
| Nissan | 50\% | 46\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Dacia | 44\% | 53\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% |
| Mitsubishi | 30\% | 54\% | 0.0\% | 14.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% |
| Ford | 46\% | 52\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 7.0\% |
| Ford | 46\% | 52\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 7.0\% |
| FCA | 41\% | 53\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 6.8\% |
| Fiat | 36\% | 58\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.1\% |
| BMW | 67\% | 30\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% |
| BMW | 74\% | 22\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.4\% |
| Mini | 38\% | 62\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Daimler | 63\% | 35\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 6.3\% |
| Mercedes-Benz | 71\% | 27\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 5.6\% |
| Smart | 0\% | 100\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Toyota | 14\% | 45\% | 40.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% |
| Toyota | 15\% | 47\% | 36.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% |
| Lexus | 0\% | 7\% | 93.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Other brands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyundai | 42\% | 56\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 3.4\% |
| Kia | 48\% | 48\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% |
| Volvo | 83\% | 12\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% |
| Honda | 38\% | 62\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |

## 4. SUPER-CREDITS

Super-credits were introduced to incentivize car manufacturers to produce low-carbon vehicles, such as PHEVs and BEVs. According to the super-credit provision, vehicles with low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions, below $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, are assigned an increased weight when calculating manufacturers' average emissions.

During the first stage of super-credits, from 2013 to 2015, the following weighting factors applied: Each low-emitting car counted as 3.5 cars in 2013, 2.5 in 2014, 1.5 in 2015, and 1 starting in 2016. The second stage is planned for 2020 to 2023, although the weighting factors will be lower than in the first period. For this second phase, the following multipliers will be used: Each low-emitting car will count as 2 cars in 2020, 1.67 in 2021, 1.33 in 2022, and 1 starting in 2023. There will be a cap on super-credits' contribution to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reductions of $7.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ per manufacturer over the entire period.

The number of vehicles that qualify for the super-credits showed a modest 2\% increase from 2015 to 2016. The effect of super-credits on average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of car manufacturers was rather limited. Table 4 presents the effect of super-credits on average $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions of both manufacturer groups and a selection of their brands in 2015 and 2016.

Table 4. Effect of super-credits on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions by manufacturer group and brand. Super-credits multiplier was 1.5 in 2015 and 1.67 in 2016 (super-credits multiplier for 2021 used).

|  | 2015 <br> Avg. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  |  | Avg. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }_{2}^{2016}$ emissions ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ ) |  |  | Reduction since 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | w/o supercredits | w/ supercredits | Difference | w/o supercredits | w/ supercredits | Difference | w/o supercredits | w/ supercredits |
| Toyota | 108.3 | 108.3 | 0.0 | 105.5 | 105.5 | 0.0 | 3\% | 3\% |
| Toyota | 107.9 | 107.8 | 0.0 | 104.6 | 104.6 | 0.0 | 3\% | 3\% |
| Lexus | 115.5 | 115.5 | 0.0 | 118.5 | 118.5 | 0.0 | -3\% | -3\% |
| PSA | 113.1 | 113.0 | 0.0 | 110.4 | 110.3 | 0.1 | 2\% | 2\% |
| Peugeot | 103.7 | 103.7 | 0.1 | 101.7 | 101.6 | 0.1 | 2\% | 2\% |
| Citroën | 105.9 | 105.8 | 0.1 | 103.2 | 102.9 | 0.3 | 3\% | 3\% |
| DS | 103.6 | 103.6 | 0.0 | 103.8 | 103.8 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Opel | 126.1 | 126.1 | 0.0 | 122.6 | 122.6 | 0.0 | 3\% | 3\% |
| Vauxhall | 128.5 | 128.5 | 0.0 | 123.6 | 123.6 | 0.0 | 4\% | 4\% |
| Renault-Nissan | 112.0 | 110.7 | 1.3 | 111.2 | 109.7 | 1.5 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Renault | 106.2 | 105.3 | 0.9 | 105.2 | 104.0 | 1.2 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Nissan | 114.6 | 113.3 | 1.3 | 116.2 | 114.1 | 2.1 | -1\% | -1\% |
| Dacia | 122.7 | 122.7 | 0.0 | 117.8 | 117.8 | 0.0 | 4\% | 4\% |
| Mitsubishi | 110.0 | 103.0 | 7.0 | 118.1 | 111.1 | 7.0 | -7\% | -8\% |
| FCA | 121.7 | 121.7 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Fiat | 117.8 | 117.8 | 0.0 | 116.4 | 116.4 | 0.0 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Ford | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | -2\% | -2\% |
| Ford | 118.0 | 118.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | -2\% | -2\% |
| Volkswagen | 121.3 | 120.9 | 0.4 | 120.4 | 120.0 | 0.4 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Škoda | 115.5 | 115.5 | 0.0 | 111.9 | 111.9 | 0.0 | 3\% | 3\% |
| SEAT | 116.6 | 116.6 | 0.0 | 115.8 | 115.8 | 0.0 | 1\% | 1\% |
| VW | 118.8 | 118.2 | 0.6 | 118.7 | 118.0 | 0.7 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Audi | 127.8 | 127.2 | 0.6 | 126.2 | 125.9 | 0.3 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Porsche | 183.8 | 183.8 | 0.0 | 185.1 | 185.1 | 0.0 | -1\% | -1\% |
| BMW | 126.2 | 125.6 | 0.7 | 122.9 | 121.4 | 1.5 | 3\% | 3\% |
| Mini | 117.7 | 117.7 | 0.0 | 117.2 | 117.2 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| BMW | 128.4 | 127.6 | 0.8 | 124.4 | 122.5 | 1.9 | 3\% | 4\% |
| Daimler | 124.7 | 124.3 | 0.5 | 125.3 | 124.7 | 0.6 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Smart | 94.1 | 93.2 | 0.9 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 0.2 | -2\% | -3\% |
| Mercedes-Benz | 128.7 | 128.3 | 0.4 | 129.0 | 128.3 | 0.7 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Other brands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volvo | 121.9 | 120.7 | 1.2 | 121.2 | 119.2 | 2.0 | 1\% | 1\% |
| Hyundai | 127.3 | 127.3 | 0.0 | 124.5 | 124.4 | 0.1 | 2\% | 2\% |
| Kia | 128.0 | 127.2 | 0.8 | 124.8 | 124.1 | 0.7 | 3\% | 2\% |
| Honda | 131.3 | 131.3 | 0.0 | 126.9 | 126.9 | 0.0 | 3\% | 3\% |

At the group level, the provision translated into a maximum reduction of $1.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ for Renault-Nissan and BMW due to the groups' comparatively high share of BEVs and/or PHEVs. At the brand level, the effect of super-credits was most notable for Mitsubishi, with a reduction of $7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ in the average emissions of the brand.

## 5. INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT

In the international context, the EU has historically been a front-runner with respect to vehicle emission targets. In recent years, however, most large economies have set converging $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission targets for new vehicles (Figure 3). Compared to the EU's 2020/21 target of $95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$, the United States ( $99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ for 2025 passenger cars), South Korea ( $97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ by 2020), and Canada ( $99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{km}$ by 2025) have set similar targets.


Figure 3. Comparison of global $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ regulations for new passenger cars. ${ }^{8}$

## 6. OUTLOOK

It should be noted that the EEA dataset has yet to be validated. The final dataset will be published at the end of 2017, so the specific numbers in this report may change. ${ }^{9}$ The preliminary data for 2016 should, however, provide relatively reliable results. Real-world emissions are significantly higher than the official values used here. The gap between official and real-world $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission values of European cars has been widening over time and reached approximately $42 \%$ in 2015 , indicating that the values

[^6]ICCT BRIEFING
presented in this briefing significantly underestimate on-road emissions and fuel consumption. ${ }^{10}$ The ICCT will follow up on European emissions data in the forthcoming European Vehicle Market Statistics Pocketbook 2017/2018.11

10 Uwe Tietge, et al., From laboratory to road - A 2016 update of official and "real-world" fuel consumption and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ values for passenger cars in Europe, (ICCT: Washington DC, 2016). http://www.theicct.org/laboratory-. road-2016-update.

11 International Council on Clean Transportation, European vehicle market statistics pocketbook 2017/2018 http://eupocketbook.org.
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